Polytertiary Phosphines and Arsines. 19. Some First-row Transition Metal Chloride Complexes of Ditertiary and Tritertiary Phosphines Containing Terminal Methoxy Groups

R. B. KING and J. W. BIBBER Department of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Ga. 30602, U.S.A. Received September 16, 1981

The triphosphines $RP[CH_2CH_2P(OCH_3)_2]_2$ (R = CH_3 and C_6H_5) react with the metal(II) chlorides of iron, cobalt, and nickel in methanol solution to form the corresponding [(triphos)MCl]⁺ cations isolated as the chloride and hexafluorophosphate salts. Magnetic susceptibility data indicate that the yellow diamagnetic nickel complexes are square planar but the blue to green cobalt and brown iron complexes are tetrahedral. Nickel(II) chloride reacts with the diphosphine $(C_6H_5)_2PCH_2CH_2P(OCH_3)_2$ to give a diamagnetic apparently square planar nickel(II) complex of the stoichiometry (diphosh-NiCl₂ in which each diphosphine unit functions as a monodentate ligand. However, cobalt(II) and iron(II) chlorides react with (C₆H₅)₂PCH₂CH₂- $P(OCH_3)_2$ to give products of stoichiometry (diphos)-MCl₂ formulated as the dimeric ionic derivatives $[(diphos)_2 M_2 Cl_2] Cl_2$ on the basis of magnetic measurements, conductivities, and metathesis with NH₄PF₆

Introduction

During the past fifteen years the transition metal coordination chemistry of chelating polytertiary phosphines containing terminal alkyl and aryl groups has received extensive attention [1-3] including papers from this laboratory on metal complexes of chelating polytertiary phosphines containing terminal phenyl [4-6], methyl [7], and neopentyl [8] groups. However, analogous coordination chemistry of chelating polyphosphines containing terminal alkoxy groups has received very little attention even though such polyphosphines would be of interest by exhibiting significantly stronger π -acceptor properties [9] than the polyphosphines with extensive known coordination chemistry. In this connection we investigated several years ago the coordination chemistry of the chelating ditertiary phosphine (CH₃O)₂ PCH₂- $CH_2P(OCH_3)_2$ [10]. We found that this ditertiary phosphine gives a variety of metal carbonyl deriva-

0020-1693/82/0000-0000/\$2.75

tives but does not form tractable metal chloride complexes with iron, cobalt, and nickel apparently owing to insufficient basicity of the phosphorus atoms.

These previous results make of interest the transition metal coordination chemistry of potentially chelating polyphosphines in which some phosphorus atoms carry terminal alkoxy substituents and the remaining phosphorus atoms carry terminal alkyl or aryl substituents. Several years ago we reported a general method for synthesizing such polyphosphines [11]. This paper presents a survey of the reactions of nickel, cobalt, and iron chlorides with the chelating triphosphines $C_6H_5 P[CH_2 CH_2P(OCH_3)_2]_2$ (abbreviated as Pom-Pf-Pom) and $CH_3P[CH_2 CH_2 P(OCH_3)_3]_2$ (abbreviated as Pom-Pm-Pom) and the chelating diphosphines (C_6H_5)₂ PCH₂ CH₂ P(OCH₃)₂ (abbreviated as Pom-Pf) and (CH₃)₂ PCH₂ CH₂-P(OCH₃)₂ (abbreviated as Pom-Pm).

Experimental

Microanalyses (Table I) were performed by the Atlantic Microanalytical Laboratory, Atlanta, Georgia. Melting and decomposition points (Table I) were taken in capillaries and are uncorrected. Magnetic susceptibilities (Table I) were determined by the Evans method [12] in dichloromethane or methanol solutions using a Varian T-60 spectrometer at 60 MHz for measurement of the frequency shifts. Molar conductance measurements (Table I) were performed in 0.004 M and 0.002 M nitromethane solutions using a Yellow Springs Instrument Co. model 31 conductivity bridge with platinum electrodes. Electronic spectra in the ultraviolet, visible, and near infrared regions were taken in methanol solutions and recorded on a Cary model 14 spectrometer. Only the near infrared region exhibits maxima and even these maxima (Table I) are poorly defined broad peaks with low extinction coefficients.

© Elsevier Sequoia S.A./Printed in Switzerland

Compound ^a	Color	M.p., °C		Analyse	5, %		μeff (B.M.)	Molar	Electronic Spectra
				U	Н	G		Conductance	cm ^{−1} (ε) ^c
A) Nickel Derivatives									
(Pom-Pf-Pom)NiCl ₂	yellow	95	Calcd.	35.0	5.2	14.8	0	52	6900(5.5), 6370(4.9),
			Found	35.2	5.1	14.4			5970(7.2)
[(Pom-Pf-Pom)NiCl] [PF ₆]	yellow	218	Calcd.	28.5	4.2	6.0	0	67	6850(4.3), 6250(4.4),
			Found	28.6	4.4	6.4			5880(5.4)
(Pom-Pm-Pom)NiCl2	yellow	112	Calcd.	25.9	5.5	17.0	0	59	6890(2.5), 6250(2.2),
	-	(dec.)	Found	25.4	5.5	16.6	c	ŝ	5990(3.2)
[(Pom-Pm-Pom)NiCI] [PF6]	yellow	185	Calcd.	20.5	4.4	0.7	0	71	6940(2.3), 6290(2.1),
(Bom-Bf) - NiCl-	100	69	Found	20.6	8.4 4.8	1.1	c	ŝ	6020(2.1) 5020(4.0)
	POI 1	00	Found	50.3	6.5	0.0	>	55	
[(Pom-Pf) ₂ NiCl] [PF ₆]	orange	210	Calcd.	45.1	4.7	4.2	0	87	5980(2.0)
)		Found	45.1	4.7	4.3			
R) Cohalt Derivatives									
D) DODAN JUNNING									
(Pom-Pf-Pom)CoCl ₂	green	135	Calcd.	35.0	5.2	14.8	4.8	74	6920(41), 6370(40),
			Found	34.9	5.1	14.6			5960(44)
[(Pom-Pf-Pom)CoCl] [PF6]	green	230	Calcd.	28.5	4.2	6.0	4.7	83	6800(42), 6180(42),
			Found	28.8	4.3	6.4			5960(43)
(Pom-Pm-Pom)CoCl ₂	blue	110	Calcd.	25.8	5.5	17.0	4.8	58	6860(35), 5990(40),
		(dec.)	Found	25.7	5.8	16.6			5770(44)
[(Pom-Pm-Pom)CoCl] [PF6]	blue	190	Calcd.	20.5	4.4	6.7	4.6	77	6730(30), 5740(42),
			Found	20.7	4.4	7.1			5620(40)
(Pom-Pf)CoCl ₂	blue-green	70	Calcd.	44.0	4.6	16.0	4.5	58	6070(40), 5940(40)
			Found	44.1	4.6	16.1			
[(Pom-Pf)CoCl] [PF6]	blue	115	Calcd.	35.2	3.7	6.9	4.4	75	5920(36), 5750(34)
			Found	9.05	5.0	0.0			
C) Iron Derivatives									
(Pom-Pf-Pom)FeCl ₂	brown	115	Calcd.	35.2	5.2	14.9	5.2	56	6330(29), 6070(40)
•			Found	35.7	5.5	14.4			
[(Pom-Pf-Pom)FeCi] [PF 6]	brown	220	Calcd.	28.6	4.3	6.0	5.1	74	6150(42), 5870(45)
			Found	29.1	4.4	6.4			
(Pom-Pm-Pom)FeCl2	brown	110	Calcd.	26.0	5.5	17.1	5.1	65	6370(20), 5900(20)
		(dec.)	Found	26.1	5.5	16.9			
[(Pom-Pm-Pom)FeCl] [PF6]	brown	182	Calcd.	20.6	4.4	6.8	4.9	84	6150(25), 5730(30)
			Found	20.5	4.6	7.1		3	
(Pom-Pf)FeCl ₂	orange-red	195	Calcd.	44.4	4.6	16.4	5.2	62	6340(37), 6130(29),
			Found	44.1	4.6	16.0			5960(31)

198

[(Pom-Pf)FeCl][PF ₆]	red	235	Calcd.	35.4	3.7	6.5	5.1	79	6100(43), 5810(37)
			Found	35.7	3.8	6.3			
(Pom-Pm)FeCl ₂	brown	107	Calcd.	23.3	5.2	23.0	5.1	69	6075(37), 5950(40),
			Found	23.8	5.3	23.2			5830(38)
	,	-		44.0000	00 mi Pomio		aitromothene of	utions and are	enorted in ohm-1 cm2 mor-1
"See the text for the ligand "These electronic spectra wea	abbreviations.	I hese molar nol solutions.	conductance d . Extinction co	ata were oot efficients are	amea in u.u. e given in par	entheses.			
TABLE II. Some Reactions Groups. ^a	of First-row Transiti	ion Metal Ch	lorides with Pc	olytertiary Pl	hosphines Co	ntaining Te	erminal Methoxy		
Metal Chloride (g, mmol)	Ligand	l ^b (g, mmol)		Produ	ct		Yield		
A) Nickel Derviatives									
NiCl2•6H2O (0.68, 2.9)	Pom-P	f-Pom (1.0, 2	(6.	(Pom-	-Pf-Pom)NiCl	2	%96		
NiCl ₂ •6H ₂ O (0.83, 3.5)	Pom-P	m-Pom (1.0,	3.5)	(Pom-	-Pm-Pom)NiC	21 2	95%		
NiCl ₂ •6H ₂ O (0.78, 3.3)	Pom-P	f (1.0, 3.3)		(Pom-	-Pf)2 NiCl2		95%		
B) Cobalt Derivatives									
CoCl ₂ (0.37, 2.9)	Pom-P	f-Pom (1.0, 2	(6')	(Pom-	-Pf-Pom)CoC	12	95%		
CoCl ₂ (0.45, 3.5)	Pom-P	m-Pom (1.0,	3.5)	(Pom-	-Pm-Pom)Co(C12	6%		
CoCl ₂ (0.42, 3.3)	Pom-P.	f (1.0, 3.3)		(Pom-	-Pf)CoCl2		66%		
C) Iron Derivatives									
FeCl ₂ •4H ₂ O (0.57, 2.9)	Pom-P	f-Pom (1.0, 2	(6')	(Pom-	-Pf-Pom)FeCI	2	94%		
FeCl ₂ •4H ₂ O (0.69, 3.5)	Pom-P	m-Pom (1.0,	3.5)	(Pom	-Pm-Pom)Fe(0 12	95%		
FeCl ₂ •4H ₂ O (0.65, 3.3)	Pom-P	f (1.0, 3.3)		(Pom-	-Pf)FeCl2		66%		
FeCl ₂ •4H ₂ O (1.09, 5.5)	Pom-P	f (1.0, 5.5)		(Pom	-Pm)FeCl ₂		94%		
^a All reactions proceeded in:	stantly in methanol	solution as	indicated by i	mmediate co	olor changes	upon mixi	ng the reactants.		

tants.	
e reac	
the	
mixing	
uodn	
changes	
color	
immediate	
Ъу	
indicated	
as	IS.
solution	reviation
methanol	ligand abb
Ë,	the
instantly	anation of
proceeded	or an expli
reactions	the text f
II VI	See

6100(43), 5810(37)

79

Reactions of the Metal Chlorides with the Polyphosphine Ligands

The quantities of metal chloride and polyphosphine ligand indicated in Table II were brought together in anhydrous methanol solution (100 ml) at ambient temperature. An immediate color change indicated a rapid reaction. The cobalt and iron complexes of $(C_6H_5)_2$ PCH₂ CH₂ P(OCH₃)₂ precipitated spontaneously from the reaction mixture and were purified by crystallization from chloroform. The remaining metal complexes were isolated by evaporation of the methanol solvent followed by addition of diethyl ether in the case of the CH₃P[CH₂ CH₂ P-(OCH₃)₂]₂ complexes to facilitate precipitation of the product.

Conversion of the Chloride Complexes to the Corresponding Hexafluorophosphate Salts

Iron Complexes

The hexafluorophosphate salts are relatively sparingly soluble in methanol and thus were precipitated upon treating a saturated methanol solution of the corresponding chloride complex with excess of a concentrated acetone solution of NH_4PF_6 .

(Pom-Pf)2 NiCl2

This chloride complex is not very soluble in methanol but soluble in acetone. The corresponding hexafluorophosphate complex $[(Pom-Pf)_2NiCl]$ - $[PF_6]$ was obtained by mixing stoichiometric amounts of $(Pom-Pf)_2NiCl_2$ and NH_4PF_6 in concentrated acetone solutions, filtering off the precipitated NH_4Cl , and then slowly evaporating the filtrate.

Other Cobalt and Nickel Complexes

A saturated methanol solution of the chloride complex was treated with a stoichiometric amount of an acetone solution of NH_4PF_6 . Evaporation of solvents to incipient crystallization followed by storage at -10 °C led to precipitation of the hexafluorophosphate salt in crystalline form.

Results and Discussion

Triphosphine Complexes

The triphosphines $RP[CH_2CH_2P(OCH_3)_2]_2$ (R = CH₃ and C₆H₅) readily form complexes of the stoichiometries (triphos)MCl₂ (M = Fe, Co, and Ni) upon reactions with the corresponding metal chlorides in methanol solution. Formulation of these complexes as the ionic tetracoordinate derivatives [(triphos)MCl]Cl is indicated by their conductivities in nitromethane solution and conversion to the corresponding hexafluorophosphate salts [(triphos)-MCl] [PF₆] by metathesis with ammonium hexafluorophosphate. The hexafluorophosphate salts

exhibit similar conductivities, near infrared spectra, colors, and magnetic moments as the corresponding chloride salts suggesting no major change in the metal coordination spheres.

The nickel complexes (triphos)NiCl₂ and [(triphos)NiCl] [PF₆] are yellow diamagnetic solids indicative of square planar coordination similar to previously reported [(triphos)NiCl]⁺ derivatives [4, 7]. The blue to green cobalt and brown iron complexes (triphos)MCl₂ and [(triphos)MCl] [PF₆] exhibit magnetic moments indicative of tetrahedral metal coordination similar to that found for known [2, 3] phosphine metal halide complexes of these metals in the +2 oxidation state.

Diphosphine Complexes

The diphosphines $R_2PCH_2CH_2P(OCH_3)_2$ (R = CH₃ and C₆H₅) form a much more limited range of isolable nickel, cobalt, and iron chloride complexes than the triphosphines. Nickel(II) chloride was found to react with $(C_6H_5)_2PCH_2CH_2P(OCH_3)_2$ to form a red diamagnetic complex with a 2:1 ligand-metal stoichiometry (Pom-Pf)_2NiCl₂. This complex is tentatively formulated as the square planar complex I in which the nickel is only bonded to the more basic $(C_6H_5)_2P$ -phosphorus atoms. Metathesis of (Pom-Pm)_2NiCl₂ with ammonium hexafluorophosphate gives orange diamagnetic [(Pom-Pm)_2NiCl]-[PF₆] tentatively formulated as II in which the less basic $(CH_3O)_2P$ -phosphorus arm of one of the diphosphines has displaced one of the chloride ligands.



Cobalt(II) and iron(II) chlorides react with $(C_6-H_5)_2 PCH_2 CH_2 P(OCH_3)_2$ to form products of stoichiometry (Pom-Pf)MCl₂. Formulation of these complexes as the dimeric ionic derivatives [(Pf-Pom)₂ M₂ Cl₂] Cl₂ (III: X = Cl) rather than the simple non-ionic monomeric derivatives (Pf-Pom)-MCl₂ is suggested for the following reasons:

(1) The molar conductance in nitromethane is consistent with a 1:1 electrolyte based on a monomeric formulation (Pom-Pf)MCl₂ or a 1:2 electrolyte based on a dimeric formulation (Pom-Pf)₂ M_2 Cl₄; (2) Metathesis with ammonium hexafluorophosphate gives products of stoichiometry (Pom-Pf)MClPF₆ which must be formulated as the dimers [(Pom-Pf)₂- M_2Cl_2] [PF₆]₂ (III: X = PF₆) to avoid the unlikely possibilities of three-coordinate metal (see item 3 below) or coordinated hexafluorophosphate; (3) The magnetic moments and colors (except for minor variations in hue) of the (Pom-Pf)MCl₂ are very similar to those of the triphosphine complexes [(triphos)MCl]X (triphos = RP[CH₂CH₂P(OCH₃)₂]₂; R = CH₃ or C₆H₅; M = Co or Fe; X = Cl or PF₆) suggesting analogous tetrahedral metal coordination; (4) The compounds (Pom-Pf)MCl₂ (Co and Fe) are less soluble in methanol than the [(triphos)MCl]Cl derivatives suggesting a higher molecular complexity.



The ligand $(CH_3)_2 PCH_2 CH_2 P(OCH_3)_2$ reacts with all three metal chlorides in methanol solution. However, only in the case of iron could a tractable product be isolated. The brown iron complex (Pom-Pm)FeCl₂ exhibits a magnetic moment suggestive of tetrahedral iron(II) and conductance corresponding to a 1:1 electrolyte for a monomeric formulation. A formulation analogous to III is tentatively suggested, but attempted metathesis with NH₄PF₆ failed to give a crystalline hexafluorophosphate salt. Also the reaction of neither diphosphine R₂PCH₂CH₂P-(OCH₃)₂ (R = CH₃ or C₆H₅) with iron(II) chloride gave any evidence for the formation of an octahedral iron(II)(diphos)₂FeCl₂ derivative, which would have the favored rare gas electronic configuration.

Acknowledgement

We are indebted to the Air Force Office of Scientific Research for partial support of this work under Grant AFOSR-75-2869.

References

- 1 For Part 18 of this series, see G. M. Brown, J. E. Finholt, R. B. King and J. W. Bibber, *Inorg. Chem.*, in press.
- 2 C. A. McAuliffe, ed., 'Transition Metal Complexes Containing Phosphorus, Arsenic, and Antimony Ligands', Macmillan Press, London, 1973.
- 3 C. A. McAuliffe and W. Levason, Phosphine, Arsine, and Stibine Complexes of the Transition Elements', Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1979.
- 4 R. B. King, P. N. Kapoor and R. N. Kapoor, Inorg. Chem., 10, 1841 (1971).
- 5 R. B. King, R. N. Kapoor, M. S. Saran and P. N. Kapoor, *Inorg. Chem.*, 10, 1851 (1971).
- 6 R. B. King and M. S. Saran, Inorg. Chem., 10, 1861 (1971).
- 7 R. B. King, J. A. Zinich and J. C. Cloyd, Jr., Inorg. Chem., 14, 1554 (1975).
- 8 R. B. King, J. C. Cloyd, Jr., and R. H. Reimann, Inorg. Chem., 15, 449 (1976).
- 9 G. R. Dobson, I. W. Stolz and R. K. Sheline, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 8, 1 (1966).
- 10 R. B. King and W. M. Rhee, Inorg. Chem., 17, 2961 (1978).
- 11 R. B. King and W. F. Masler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4001 (1977).
- 12 D. F. Evans, J. Chem. Soc., 2003 (1959).